arXiv:1403.7787v1 [math.CO] 30 Mar 2014

FACETS OF $(0,1)$-POLYTOPES WITH SQUAREFREE INITIAL IDEALS

TAKAYUKI HIBI AND AKIHIRO HIGASHITANI

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $(0,1)$-polytope of dimension d which contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ and that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ possesses a squarefree initial ideal with respect to the reverse lexicographic order induced by an ordering of the variables for which the variable corresponding to the origin is the weakest. It is then proved that the equation of each facet of $\mathcal{P}$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i z_i = b$, where each a_i is an integer and where $b \in \{0,1\}$.

Introduction

A convex polytope is called *integral* if any of its vertices has integer coordinates. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an integral polytope of dimension d. A *configuration* arising from $\mathcal{P}$ is the finite set $\mathcal{A} = \{(\alpha, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} : \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha, 1) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Let $K[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_d, t_d^{-1}, s]$ denote the Laurent polynomial ring in $d+1$ variables over a field K. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we write u_α for the Laurent monomial $t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_d^{\alpha_d} \in K[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_d, t_d^{-1}]$. The *toric ring* of $\mathcal{A}$ is the subring $K[\mathcal{A}]$ of $K[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_d, t_d^{-1}, s]$ which is generated by those Laurent monomials $u_\alpha s$ with $\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$ be the polynomial ring in $|\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ variables over K with each $\deg x_\alpha = 1$. We then define the surjective ring homomorphism $\pi : K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}] \to K[\mathcal{A}]$ by setting $\pi(x_\alpha) = u_\alpha s$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the kernel of π and call $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ the *toric ideal* of $\mathcal{A}$.

An integral convex polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a $(0,1)$-*polytope* if each vertex of $\mathcal{P}$ belongs to $\{0,1\}^d$. Thus in particular, if $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a $(0,1)$-polytope, then the toric ring $K[\mathcal{A}]$ of the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ is a subring of the polynomial ring $K[t_1, \ldots, t_d, s]$ and is generated by squarefree monomials.

Now, the purpose of the present paper is to show the following

Theorem 0.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $(0,1)$-polytope of dimension d which contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ and that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ possesses a squarefree initial ideal (i.e., an initial ideal generated by squarefree monomials) with respect to the reverse lexicographic order induced by an ordering of the variables for which the variable corresponding*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 52B20; Secondary 13P10.
Keywords: $(0,1)$-polytope, toric ideal, free sum, integer decomposition property.

to the origin is the weakest. Then the equation of each facet of $\mathcal{P}$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i z_i = b$, where each a_i is an integer and where $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

We refer the reader to [5, Chapter 1] for the basic tools of Gröbner bases and toric ideals.

Example 0.2. The order polytope as well as the chain polytope ([7]) of a finite partially ordered set is a $(0, 1)$-polytope satisfying the conditions of Theorem 0.1. See [3] and [6].

Example 0.3. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the $(0, 1)$-polytope with the vertices $(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 0, 0)$. The toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ is generated by the binomial $x_{(1,0,0)}{}^2 x_{(0,1,1)} - x_{(1,0,1)} x_{(1,1,0)} x_{(0,0,0)}$. It then follows that $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ fails to possess a squarefree initial ideal with repeat to the reverse lexicographic order induced by an ordering of the variables for which $x_{(0,0,0)}$ is the weakest. In fact, $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 2$ is the equation of one of the facets of $\mathcal{P}$.

Example 0.4. (a) The $(0, 1)$-polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the vertices $(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1)$ satisfies the condition of initial ideals of Theorem 0.1 trivially, for the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ is the zero ideal. However, $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} \neq \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 2$ is the equation of one of the facets of $\mathcal{P}$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $(0, 1)$-simplex whose vertices are $\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(d)}$ together with the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the configuration arising from $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ if and only if the $d \times d$ integer matrix $M = (\alpha_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$, where $\alpha^{(j)} = (\alpha_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \alpha_d^{(j)})$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, satisfies $\det(M) = \pm 1$. Hence the equation of the facet of $\mathcal{P}$ with the vertices $\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(d)}$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i z_i = 1$, where each a_i is an integer.

A proof of Theorem 0.1, which is based on the basic theory of squarefree initial ideals of toric ideals, will be given in Section 2. Section 1 is devoted to laying the foundation of required techniques with discussing free sums of convex polytopes.

1. Free sums of convex polytopes

In general, for a convex polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and for each integer $n \geq 1$, we write $n\mathcal{P}$ for the convex polytope $\{n\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We say that an integral convex polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ possesses the *integer decomposition property* if, for each $n \geq 1$ and for each $\gamma \in n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $\gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(n)}$ belonging to $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\gamma = \gamma^{(1)} + \ldots + \gamma^{(n)}$.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ the configuration arising from $\mathcal{P}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *normal* if

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ is the set of nonnegative rational numbers.

Recall from [4, Chapter IX] what the Ehrhart polynomial of an integral convex polytope is. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d and, for each integer $n \geq 1$, write $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ for the number of integer points belonging to $n\mathcal{P}$, i.e., $i(\mathcal{P}, n) = |n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$. It is known that $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d with $i(\mathcal{P}, 0) = 1$. We call $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ the *Ehrhart polynomial* of $\mathcal{P}$. We then define the integers $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots$ by the formula

$$(1-\lambda)^{d+1}\big[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i(\mathcal{P}, n)\lambda^n\big] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_n \lambda^n.$$

It then follows that $\delta_n = 0$ for $n > d$. The polynomial

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \delta_n \lambda^n$$

is called the *δ-polynomial* of $\mathcal{P}$.

Finally, the Hilbert function of the toric ring $K[\mathcal{A}]$ of the configuration $\mathcal{A}$ arising from an integral convex polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension d is introduced. We write $(K[\mathcal{A}])_n$ for the subspace of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ spanned by those Laurent monomials of the form

$$(u_{\alpha^{(1)}} s)(u_{\alpha^{(2)}} s) \cdots (u_{\alpha^{(n)}} s)$$

with each $\alpha^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. In particular $(K[\mathcal{A}])_0 = K$ and $(K[\mathcal{A}])_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} K u_\alpha s$. The *Hilbert function* of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is the numerical function

$$H(K[\mathcal{A}], n) = \dim_K (K[\mathcal{A}])_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Thus in particular $H(K[\mathcal{A}], 0) = 1$ and $H(K[\mathcal{A}], 1) = |\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$. We then define the integers $h_0, h_1, h_2, \ldots$ by the formula

$$(1-\lambda)^{d+1}\big[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(K[\mathcal{A}], n)\lambda^n\big] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \lambda^n.$$

A basic fact [1, Theorem 11.1] of Hilbert functions guarantees that $h_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. We say that the polynomial

$$h(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \lambda^n$$

is the *h-polynomial* of $K[\mathcal{A}]$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d and suppose that the configuration $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ arising from $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{P}$ possesses the integer decomposition property;
(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ is normal;
(iii) $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = h(K[\mathcal{A}])$.

Proof. It follows that $\mathcal{P}$ possesses the integer decomposition property if and only if, for $\alpha \in n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, one has $(\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is normal if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^d$, one has $\alpha \in n\mathcal{P}$ if and only if $(\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. Hence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows.

In general, one has $i(\mathcal{P}, n) \geq H(K[\mathcal{A}], n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Furthermore, it follows that $i(\mathcal{P}, n) = H(K[\mathcal{A}], n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}$ possesses the integer decomposition property. Hence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ be convex polytopes and suppose that each of them contains the origin (of $\mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{R}^e$). Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d, \mathbf{e}_{d+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d+e}$ denote the standard unit coordinate vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. We introduce the canonical injections $\mu : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ by setting $\mu(\alpha) = (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu : \mathbb{R}^e \to \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ by setting $\nu(\beta) = (0, \ldots, 0, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^e$. In particular $\mu(0, \ldots, 0) = \nu(0, \ldots, 0)$ is the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. Then $\mu(\mathcal{P})$ and $\nu(\mathcal{Q})$ are convex polytopes of $\mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{P}) \cap \nu(\mathcal{Q}) = (0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. The *free sum* of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the convex hull of the finite set $\mu(\mathcal{P}) \cup \nu(\mathcal{Q})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. It is written as $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$. One has $\dim \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q} = \dim \mathcal{P} + \dim \mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Work with the same notation as above. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ be the configuration arising from $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{e+1}$ the configuration arising from $\mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+e+1}$ denote the configuration arising from the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. Suppose that*

$$(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e} = \mu(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \cup \nu(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e). \tag{1}$$

Then

$$h(K[\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}]) = h(K[\mathcal{A}])h(K[\mathcal{B}]).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ possesses the integer decomposition property, then

$$\delta(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \delta(\mathcal{P})\delta(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. Let $K[\mathcal{A}] \subset K[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_d, t_d^{-1}, s]$ and $K[\mathcal{B}] \subset K[t'_1, {t'}_1^{-1}, \ldots, t'_e, {t'}_e^{-1}, s']$. Then $K[\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}] = (K[\mathcal{A}] \otimes K[\mathcal{B}])/(s - s')$. Hence $h(K[\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}]) = h(K[\mathcal{A}] \otimes K[\mathcal{B}]) = h(K[\mathcal{A}])h(K[\mathcal{B}])$, as desired.

If, furthermore, $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ possesses the integer decomposition property, then each of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ possesses the integer decomposition property. Lemma 1.1 then says that $\delta(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = h(K[\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}])$, $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = h(K[\mathcal{A}])$ and $\delta(\mathcal{Q}) = h(K[\mathcal{B}])$. Hence $\delta(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \delta(\mathcal{P})\delta(\mathcal{Q})$, as required. $\square$

Example 1.3. Even though $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ possess the integer decomposition property, the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$ may fail to possess the integer decomposition property. For example, the convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ of Example 0.3 possesses the integer decomposition property, but the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ fails to possess the integer decomposition property.

2. Proof of Theorem 0.1

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d which contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ the configuration arising from $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension e which contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}^e$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{e+1}$ the configuration arising from $\mathcal{Q}$. We then write $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+e+1}$ for the configuration arising from the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$.

Let $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subset K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$ be the toric ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ and $I_{\mathcal{B}} \subset K[\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e}]$ the toric ideal of $\mathcal{B}$. We fix a reverse lexicographic order $<_{\mathcal{P}}$ on $K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$ induced by an ordering of the variables for which the variable corresponding to the origin is the weakest and a reverse lexicographic order $<_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on $K[\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e}]$ induced by an ordering of the variables for which the variable corresponding to the origin is the weakest.

Let $K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$ denote the polynomial ring in $|(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}|$ variables over K and $I_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}} \subset K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$ the toric ideal of $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. We fix a reverse lexicographic order $<$ on $K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$ with the properties that $x_\alpha <_{\mathcal{P}} x_{\alpha'}$ if and only if $x_{\mu(\alpha)} < x_{\mu(\alpha')}$ and that $x_\beta <_{\mathcal{Q}} x_{\beta'}$ if and only if $x_{\nu(\beta)} < x_{\nu(\beta')}$.

If $f = f(\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d})$ is a polynomial of $K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$, then we define $\mu(f) = f(\{x_{\mu(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}) \in K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$. If $g = g(\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e})$ is a polynomial of $K[\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e}]$, then we define $\nu(g) = g(\{x_{\nu(\beta)}\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e}) \in K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Work with the same notation as above. Let $\mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}$ denote the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to $<_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{Q}}}$ the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{B}}$ with respect to $<_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then the reduced Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}_<$ of $I_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}}$ with respect to $<$ is*

$$\mathcal{G}_< = \{\mu(f) \,:\, f \in \mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}\} \cup \{\nu(g) \,:\, g \in \mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{Q}}}\}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let $x = x_{(0,\ldots,0)} \in K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$. Suppose that

$$h = \mu(u)\nu(u') - \mu(v)\nu(v')x^q \in K[\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+e}}]$$

is an irreducible binomial belonging to $I_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mu(v)\nu(v')$ cannot be divided by x, where u and v are monomials of $K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$ and where u' and v' are monomials of $K[\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^e}]$. One has

$$\deg u + \deg u' = \deg v + \deg v' + q.$$

- Let $q > 0$. Then $\mathrm{in}_<(h) = \mu(u)\nu(u')$. One has either $\deg u > \deg v$ or $\deg u' > \deg v'$. Let, say, $\deg u > \deg v$. Then

  $$h' = u - v \cdot x^{\deg u - \deg v} \in I_{\mathcal{A}},$$

  where $x = x_{(0,\ldots,0)} \in K[\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d}]$. Since $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}(h') = u$, there is $f \in \mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}$ for which $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}(f)$ divides u. Hence $\mathrm{in}_<(h)$ is divides by $\mathrm{in}_<(\mu(f))$.
- Let $q = 0$ and suppose that $\mathrm{in}_<(h) = \mu(u)\nu(u')$. If either $\deg u > \deg v$ or $\deg u' > \deg v'$, then the same argument as above can be valid without modification. Let $\deg u = \deg v$ and $\deg u' = \deg v'$. Now, among the

variables appearing in h, the weakest variable must appear in either $\mu(v)$ or $\nu(v')$. Let, say, such a variable appears in $\mu(v)$. Then $u - v \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}(u - v) = u$. Thus there is $f \in \mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}$ for which $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}(f)$ divides u. Hence $\mathrm{in}_{<}(h)$ is divided by $\mathrm{in}_{<}(\mu(f))$.

This completes the claim that the right-hand side of (2) is a Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{B}}$ with respect to $<$. Since both $\mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{P}}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{<_{\mathcal{Q}}}$ are reduced, it follows that $\mathcal{G}_{<}$ is reduced, as desired. □

We are now in the position to give a proof of Theorem 0.1.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Since $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a $(0,1)$-polytope, the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ satisfies the condition (1) of Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2d+1}$ denote the configuration arising from $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}$. Now, by virtue of Lemma 2.1, it follows that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{A}}$ possesses a squarefree initial ideal. It then turns out that the configuration $\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{A}$ is normal ([5, Corollary 5.6.8]). Since $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, one has $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2d+1}$. Hence Lemma 1.1 says that $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ possesses the integer decomposition property. Thus Lemma 1.2 says that $\delta(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}) = \delta(\mathcal{P})^2$. Finally, the desired property of the facets of $\mathcal{P}$ follows from [2, Theorem 1.4]. □

Our discussion shows that a $(0,1)$-polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension d satisfies the condition on its facets described in Theorem 0.1 if and only if the free sum $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ possesses the integer decomposition property.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, "Introduction to Commutative Algebra," Addison–Wesley, 1969.

[2] M. Beck, P. Jayawant and T. B. McAllister, Lattice-point generating functions for free sums of convex sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **120** (2013), 1246–1262.

[3] T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws, *in* "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Eds.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Volume 11, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 93–109.

[4] T. Hibi, "Algebraic combinatorics on convex polytopes," Carslaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia, 1992.

[5] T. Hibi, Ed., "Gröbner Bases: Statistics and Software Systems," Springer, 2013.

[6] T. Hibi and N. Li, Chain polytopes and algebras with straightening laws, arXiv:1207.2538.

[7] R. P. Stanley, Two poset polytopes, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **1** (1986), 9 – 23.

Takayuki Hibi, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Akihiro Higashitani, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: a-higashitani@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp